



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,211	06/20/2005	Hans-Joachim Quenzer	1033033-000027	5248
21839 7590 03/18/2010 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER BEL'YAEV, YANA	
			ART UNIT 1791	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 03/18/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com
offserv@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/540,211	Applicant(s) QUENZER ET AL.	
	Examiner YANA BELYAEV	Art Unit 1791	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 18-20.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-15 and 17.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.
13. ☐ Other: _____.

/Steven P. Griffin/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments add new limitations which changes the scope of the claims, necessitating further consideration and search. Specifically, the added limitation that the steps are performed in the recited sequence, changes the scope of the claims, necessitating further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that claim 1 is directed to the treatment of a pre-existing or previously formed optical lens and the prior art does not relate to a pre-existing or previously formed optical lens, but rather to initial forming of a lens from a flat substrate. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Quenzer does disclose a method of structuring surfaces of micro-mechanical and/or micro-optical components and/or functional elements consisting of glass or glass-type materials (column 2, lines 5-7). However, micro-optical components can be interpreted as embodying a contoured lens surface. For example, US Patent 6,974,264 discloses Micro optical elements such as a lens, a plane mirror, a concave mirror (column 1, lines 13-14). Thus the method disclosed by Quenzer can be interpreted as a follow-up treatment on a preexisting contoured lens surfaces, such as a micro-optical element. The Applicant further argues that claim 6 depends from claim 1 and additionally requires that an elliptical gradient on the contour of the pre-formed optical lens, and that this gradient is reduced or eliminated through the steps taken by the method of the presently claimed invention. Thus, claim 6 is distinguishable over Quenzer in view of Schulze for at least this additional reason. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Quenzer does disclose a method of structuring surfaces of micro-mechanical and/or micro-optical components and/or functional elements consisting of glass or glass-type materials (column 2, lines 5-7). However, micro-optical components can be interpreted as embodying a contoured lens surface (see above). Thus the method disclosed by Quenzer can be interpreted as a follow-up treatment on a preexisting contoured lens surfaces, including an optical lens produced by a means of a glass-flow process or by means of contactless hot stamping of a thermoplastic material.